

## Grace E. Koh

Policy Counsel Public Policy Office

May 25, 2011

## **VIA ECFS**

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Re: Video Device Competition; Implementation of Section 304 of the

Telecommunications Act of 1996; Commercial Availability of Navigation Devices; Compatibility between Cable Systems and Consumer Electronics Equipment, MB Docket No. 10-91, CS Docket No. 97-80, PP Docket No. 00-67

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On May 24, 2011, Alysia Long of Cox Communications, Inc. ("Cox") and I met with the following members of the Media Bureau: Bill Lake, Michelle Carey, Steven Broeckaert, Lyle Elder, Mary Beth Murphy, Nancy Murphy, Brendan Murray, Alison Neplokh, and Jeffrey Neumann. We discussed Cox's continued efforts to bring video programming to new platforms and retail devices in response to customer demand. We further explained that these efforts are only part of the mounting evidence against the need for all-vid regulations. Consumer demand continues to drive the delivery of video programming to alternative devices, and Cox is concerned that all-vid regulation would freeze innovation at a set point in the evolution of video services.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, a copy of this letter is being filed with your office via ECFS. Courtesy copies also are being distributed to the meeting attendees via email. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

S	incerely,
	/s/
C	Grace Koh
Р	olicy Counsel, Cox Enterprises, Inc

cc: Bill Lake

Michelle Carey Steven Broeckaert

Lyle Elder

Mary Beth Murphy Nancy Murphy Brendan Murray Alison Neplokh Nancy Murphy Jeffrey Neumann